Evaluating the reliability of the Ovako Working Posture Assessment System (OWAS) to characterize firefighter injury risk using Dartfish video analysis software

Students: Tim Hurley, Kerri Zalan, Jeff Wang, Ewa Habrowski Supervisors: Dr. Joy MacDermid and Dr. Kathryn Sinden with co-investigator Sara Sayed



Firefighter Statistics

- Job demands: repetitive and heavy load handling tasks¹
- Musculoskeletal Workplace Injuries: 65% strains and sprains
- Claim Expenses: \$500 000 (Alberta 2012)²
- Clinician Challenges for Risk Assessment
- Risk Assessment Tools
 - REBA
 - RULA
 - OWAS
 - NIOSH lifting equation



Ovako Working Postures Assessment System (OWAS)

- Widely used as a postural risk assessment tool
- Developed in Finland (1973)³
- Assess: Workload of manual handling tasks in the steel industry
- Clinically: Easy to use, valid, good intra-rater reliability ^{3,4}



Dartfish

- A kinematic video analysis software used for dynamic observational assessment of work-related tasks^{1,5}
- Clinically: an ideal tool for analysing firefighter postures and providing ergonomic feedback
- Valid and reliable^{6,7}





Purpose

1) Establish the inter-rater reliability of OWAS using Dartfish

2) Determine how inter-rater reliability of video-based OWAS scores is affected by the plane of filming



Methods

- Population: 20 activeduty firefighters
- Task: Hose Drag
- Camera Views
 - I. Sagittal Left
 - II. Sagittal Right
 - III. Frontal







Phases of the Hose-Drag Task





OWAS Scoring



OWAS Scoring: 3 raters performed separate scoring

- By phase
- By camera views

OWAS Action Categories (ACs)

- AC 1: Normal postures, no special attention required;
- AC 2: Postures must be examined
- AC 3: Examination required within a short period of time;
- AC 4: Urgent re-examination and modification

Statistical Analysis

- Relative Inter-Rater Reliability:
 - Cohen's kappa with quadratic weighting
 - % Agreement (when lack of variance prevented Cohen's kappa calculation)
- Reliability analyzed separately by:
 - Phase of the hose drag task
 - Camera view



Inter-Rater Reliability between Camera Views



Inter-Rater Reliability between Postures



Discussion



- Simple, static posture
- Very good OWAS inter-rater reliability
- Reliability improved by using multiple camera views



- Complex, dynamic postures
- Poor to moderate OWAS inter-rater reliability
- Reliability not improved by using multiple camera views
- No trend in reliability among OWAS Postures Scores

Study Limitations

•Previous studies have found good to very good OWAS inter-rater reliability (kappa > 0.6)^{8,9}

- •Limitations of our work:
 - Lack of standardized training
 - Lack of statistician blinding
 - Small sample size
 - Convenience sample
 - Data entry errors



Directions for Future Research

- Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA)
 - Detailed scoring system¹¹
 - Adept at scoring dynamic postures¹²
 - Not validated in firefighters
- Dartfish features
 - Angle tracking^{6,12}
 - Coordinate tracking





Conclusion

- Overall OWAS is not recommended for dynamic postural assessments (Inter-rater reliability: poor to moderate)
- Physiotherapists should use caution if utilizing OWAS to assess postural risk in firefighters
- Further research is required to determine the optimal risk assessment tool to be used in conjunction with the capabilities of Dartfish software



Thank you!

- The Hamilton Fire Department
- Supervisors: Dr. Kathryn Sinden, Dr. Joy MacDermid
- Further thanks to: Ms. Margaret Lomotan & Sara Sayed
- REBP6 Program Coordinator: Dr. Patricia Miller



References

- 1. Sinden KE. Determinants of occupational health in firefighting [dissertation]. Hamilton (ON): McMaster University; 2014.
- 2. Frost DM, Beach TA, Crosby I, McGill SM. The cost and distribution of firefighter injuries in a large Canadian Fire Department. Work. 2016 Nov;22:1-8.
- 3. Kumar S. Theories of musculoskeletal injury causation. Ergonomics. 2001 Jan 1;44(1):17-47.
- 4. Karhu O, Kansi P, Kuorinka I. Correcting working postures in industry: a practical method for analysis. Appi Ergon. 1977;8(4): 199-2.
- 5. Norris BS, Olson SL. Concurrent validity and reliability of two-dimensional video analysis of hip and knee joint motion during mechanical lifting. Physiotherapy theory and practice. 2011 Oct 1;27(7):521-30.
- 6. Sinden KE, MacDermid JC, Jenkyn TR, Moll S, D'Amico R. Evaluating the Reliability of a Marker-Less, Digital Video Analysis Approach to Characterize Fire-fighter Trunk and Knee Postures During a Lift Task: A Proof-of-Concept Study. J Ergonomics. 2016;6(145):2
- 7. Kee D, Karwowski W. A comparison of three observational techniques for assessing postural loads in industry. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics. 2007 Jan 1;13(1):3-14.
- 8. De Bruijn I, Engels JA, Van der Gulden JW. A simple method to evaluate the reliability of OWAS observations. Appl Ergon. 1998 Aug 31;29(4):281-3.
- 9. Mattila M, Karwowski W, Vilkki M. Analysis of working postures in hammering tasks on building construction sites using the computerized OWAS method. Appl Ergon. 1993 Dec 1;24(6):405-12.
- 10. Hignett S, McAtamney L. Rapid entire body assessment (REBA). Applied ergonomics. 2000 Apr 3;31(2):201-5
- 11. Chiasson MÈ, Imbeau D, Aubry K, Delisle A. Comparing the results of eight methods used to evaluate risk factors associated with musculoskeletal disorders. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics. 2012 Sep -30;42(5):478-88
- 12. Allen JL, James C, Snodgrass SJ. The effect of load on biomechanics during an overhead lift in the WorkHab Functional Capacity Evaluation. Work. 2012 Jan 1;43(4):487-96



Questions?

